| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| | 10/681,124 | SHENG ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Thomas A. Morrison | 3653 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Thomas A. Morrison</u> . | (3) <u>Mr. Bob Gnuse (Reg. No. 27295)</u> . | |
| (2) Mr. Cheng-Khang Hsu. | (4) | İ |
| Date of Interview: | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | |
| Claims discussed: 28-30 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| DOMALD PRESENT SUPERMISSIN PATENT EXAMINER TO THE DAY CENTER 3600 | | |
| Thomas Morn | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant) | 'Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Spoke to Mr. Bob Gnuse (Reg. No. 27295) and Mr. Cheng-Khang Hsu at Birch Stewart Kolash and Birch on 11/9/2005 to request permission to cancel claims 19 and 20, since these claims are directed to a non-elected embodiment and do not meet the requirements to be rejoined in this case. Also, requested permission to change the last two lines of claim 18 to change "damping force" to damping torque and clairify that the damping torque is caused by a magnetic drag force. Mr. Gnuse and Mr. Hsu indicated they would check with the client and let the examiner know the result by 11/14/2005. On 11/10/2005, received call approving cancellation of the claims and the changes to claim 18 by Mr. Gnuse. Such amendments were made by examiner's amendment (copy attached). These amendments were discussed with a primary examiner prior to the 11/9/2005 interview